



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,518	02/25/2002	Scott Rawlings	6304.610	8643	
7	7590 01/28/2003				
Scott T. Wakeman			EXAMINER		
Ste. 240	to, Longacre & White, I	LE, TAN			
6550 Rock Spring Drive Bethesda, MD 20817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3632		
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T 2 00 00							
Office Action Summary		Application No. Applica		Applicant(s)	cant(s)				
		10/080,518	8 RAWLINGS ET AL.						
		Examiner		Art Unit	()				
		Tan Le		3632	\mathcal{L}				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE I - Externance - afternance - afternance - afternance - afternance - Any afternance - A	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event y within the statuto vill apply and will o , cause the applica	i, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F	ebruary 200	<u>12</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is n	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>				ts is				
Dispositi	ion of Claims	Ex parte Que	ayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 4	33 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requ	irement.	•					
· · · · ·	ion Papers			•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen	•	o priority dis	33. 33 3.3.3. 33 120						
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Ę	_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)	<u>.</u>				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the second office action for application serial number 10/080,518, Stand for Supporting A Display In Multiple Orientations And A Display Used In Combination With Said Stand, filed on 2/25/02. This application contains 59 claims numbered 1-59.

2. Because the inventions are distinct as shown between claims 1-33, 37-59 and claims 34-36, the restriction/election requirement issued on 10/21/02 is not proper, and has therefore been withdrawn by the examiner. A new restriction/election requirement as follows:

Election/Restriction

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-33 and 37-59 drawn to a display and a support stand, classified in class 248/ 176.3
 - II. Claims 34-36 drawn to a method of mounting a display on a stand classified in class 361 subclass 681.
- Inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed (the stand) can be used in a different process such as the process of use for a

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518

Art Unit: 3632

LCD or a camera, and the process for mounting the display can be practiced with another materially different product such a fan or a TV.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. If Applicants choose the invention of Group I then a species election must be made as follows below:
- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of figures 1-15

Species of figures 16-18

Species of figures 19-21

Species of figures 22-25

8. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518

Art Unit: 3632

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

- 9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 11. A telephone call was made to Mr. Scott Wakeman on January 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not reach.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Tan Le, whose telephone number is 703.305.8244. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Thursday, 9:00-6:00 and alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 10/080,518

Art Unit: 3632

Page 5

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for official communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this Application should be directed to the Group receptionist at 703.308.2168.

Tan Le AU 3632

January 23, 2003.

RAMON O. RAMIREZ
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART HINT 255 36